

## **REMARKS**

The amendments above and the remarks below are being submitted along with a Request for Continued Examination. Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 15-25, and 27-28 were pending. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 15-25, and 27-28 were rejected. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 15-25, and 27-28 have been canceled. Claims 29-34 are new. Claims 29-34 are currently pending.

### **Amendment to the Claims**

The applicant has amended the claims to include new claim 29. New claim 29 is directed to the same invention recited in claim 1, but has been written to take into account the examiner's interpretation of the claims and the cited art. Support for claim 29 may be found at least on page 4, lines 14-25 and page 6, lines 15-23 of the applicant's specification. No new matter has been added.

Support for claim 30 may be found at least on page 5, lines 29-31 of the applicant's specification. Support for claim 31 may be found at least on page 6, lines 3-5 of the applicant's specification. Support for claim 32 may be found at least on page 4, line 25 of the applicant's specification. Support for claim 33 may be found at least on page 3, lines 27-29 of the applicant's specification. Support for claim 34 may be found at least on page 3, lines 29-31 of the applicant's specification. No new matter has been added.

### **Claim Rejections -35 USC § 102**

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 15-18, 20-25, and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,326,980 issued to W. Spencer WORLEY, III (hereinafter Worley).

The applicant has canceled claims 1-6, 8-10, 15-18, 20-25, and 27.

### **Claim Rejections -35 USC § 103(a)**

Claims 19 and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Worley in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,650,844 issued to Hiroyuki AOKI et al. (hereinafter Aoki).

The applicant has canceled claims 19 and 28

The applicant has created new claims that clarify the scope of the applicant's invention and are distinguished from the prior art. For example, the prior art does not suggest or describe holding data lines over multiple pixel periods as recited in the current claims.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration of the present application. If there are any remaining issues, the examiner is encouraged to contact the applicant's undersigned agent to discuss said issues.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel A. Ratoff/

Daniel A. Ratoff

Registration No. 54,389

Please address all correspondence to:

Epson Research and Development, Inc.  
Intellectual Property Department  
2580 Orchard Parkway, Suite 225  
San Jose, CA 95131  
Phone: (408) 952-6030  
Facsimile: (408) 954-9058  
Customer No. 20178  
Date: October 8, 2008